

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/650,521	08/28/2003	Edgar Hommann	33332/US	6807
75	90 01/30/2006		EXAMINER	
David E. Bruhn			WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500			3763	
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402-1498		DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		5	P			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,,,			
	10/650,521	HOMMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Catherine S. Williams	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	\$			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final.	· ·	its is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,11-17 and 19-21 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,11-17 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	*· ·	· ·	121(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, , ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stag	e			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/18/05 has been entered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7,11-15 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "a drive mechanism...to axially advance the piston for delivering the liquid". This is unclear in light of the specification and drawings. The drive mechanism itself, i.e. drive wheel #8, cannot axially advance the piston. To achieve this functional language, the restoring means #10 must act on the drive wheel #8 to axially advance the piston. Therefore, it is the drive mechanism in conjunction with the restoring force that axially advances the piston and not the drive mechanism.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,521 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Claim 2 recites that "the restoring means permanently applies said restoring force". This statement is unclear in the use of the word permanently. Based on the specification and drawings the force is not permanently but transient until the piston is completely actuated and all of the medicament has been delivered. One cannot understand, based on the specification and drawings what is meant by the word permanently.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5,7,16 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hessberg et al (USPN 4,300,554). Hessberg discloses an injection apparatus that is used for the contolled delivery of an injectable liquid from a liquid container sealed at a rear end by a piston which can be slid axially in the container to deliver liquid from an outlet at a front end of the container. See figure 2. The device includes a flexible force transferring means (4); a drive mechanism (19,22,26,31,32) and a restoring means (16) located inside the drive mechanism (element 31 of drive mechanism). See figure 3. Locking means (see figure 7) provides controlled locking against an angular adjustment of the drive wheel which includes a blocking projection (29) and outer toothed area (on wheel 19). Regarding claim 7, see figure 2.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hessberg.

Hessberg meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include a 30 or 31 gauge needle. However, at the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious design choice to include either needle at the end of the tubing shown in figure 2 for delivery into a patient. 30 or 31 gauge needles are well known in the art and are commonly used for IV type administration into a patient. The incorporation of either needle would have been made by one skilled in the art to enable the device to administer medicament using a known mechanism in the art.

Claims 11 and 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hessberg in view of Updike et al (USPN 4,568,335). Hessberg meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include audible clicks. However, Updike teaches an injection device utilizing audible clicks.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate the audible clicks of Updike in to the invention of Hessberg in order to provide a device that can be used with a visually impaired patient. The motivation for the incorporation would have been to enhance the user friendly ability of the device to those with disabilities.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,521

Art Unit: 3763

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6,12-14 and 21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under

Page 5

35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations

of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4970.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine S. Williams

thin S. William

January 25, 2006